UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/537,713	06/03/2005	Torben Sogaard-Andersen	81421-4045	5142	
	7590 01/15/2008 STRAWNIIP		EXAMINER		
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP PATENT DEPARTMENT			GHERBI, SU	ETTE JAIME J	
1700 K-STREET, N.W			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3738		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/15/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

3	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/537,713	SOGAARD-ANDERSEN, TORBEN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Suzette J. Gherbi	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply		•				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was really reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 No	ovember 2007.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-29</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>13-14, 21-29</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-20</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
· · ·	r ·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		Examiner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Drianity under 25 H C C \$ 440						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau	· ·	od III tillo Trational Otago				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	* **	ed.				
	·					
Attachment(c)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal I	Patent Application				

Application/Control Number:

10/537,713 Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendment and response dated 11/9/07 has been received in application serial number 10/537,713. All comments have been taken into consideration.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection (based upon the amendment). It is understood that applicant's invention is designed to be located inside the body to prevent herniation in relation to the intestine as indicated on page 5 of the remarks.

Nevertheless the structure as claimed is what is being evaluated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the

10/537,713 Art Unit: 3738

applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 13-14, 21-22 and 28-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pelissier 6,669,735. Pelissier discloses the invention as claimed noting figure 5-6 comprising: an implant with an outer ring (2) and an inner ring (4) that is arranged in the outer ring about a joint axis, and a number of connecting links (5) extending between the outer ring and the inner ring wherein the inner ring as an outer diameter and the outer ring as an inside diameter that is larger than the outside diameter of the inner ring; wherein the connecting links (5) are distributed at a mutual angular distance along a ring and jointly forming a number of openings between the inner ring and outer ring; wherein the material is biocompatible

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 23-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pelissier in view of Vadurro et al. 2003/0212461. Pelissier et al. has been disclosed supra however Pelissier does not specify that the implanted to an intestine. Vadurro et

10/537,713

Art Unit: 3738

al. teaches that hernia repair devices may be used where a tube like structure (including muscles and organs) may require repair. It is obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art that the intestine is an organ further it would be obvious to utilize the device of Pelissier applied to the intestine because Pelissier states in col. 2:59-61 that the device is shaped to fit the convexity of viscera (organs) it contains.

Pelissier et al. discloses the claimed invention except for the measurements of the rings. It is an obvious matter of design choice to modify the device of Pelissier et al. in the claimed measures of smaller than 5mm, 4mm and 3mm since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being with the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 15-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number:

10/537,713 Art Unit: 3738

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suzette J-J Gherbi whose work schedule is Maxi-Flex off every other Friday and whose telephone number is 571-272-4751.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

10/537,713 Art Unit: 3738

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUZETTE GHERBI PRIMARY EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

10 January 2008